

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Orders

The 20th October, 1982

No. ID/KNL/89/82/47896.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Jokhai and the management of M/s Ajanta Rubber and Belt Factory, Uchani, G. T. Road, Karnal, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana Faridabad constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the disputes as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Jokhai was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The 26th October, 1982

No. ID/FD/152/82/48646.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Shiv Kumar and the management of M/s Sharco Industries Pvt. Ltd., 12/6, Mathura Road, P.O. Amar Nagar, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing ;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad, constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab-57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act the matters specified below being either matter in dispute or matters relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication :—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Shiv Kumar was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/FD/124/82/48653.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Bholi Parsad and the management of M/s New Allenberry Works, 14/7, Mathura Road, Faridabad, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3 Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Bholad Parsad was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

No. ID/YMN/145/82/48660.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Ram Raj and the management of M/s Shikumbhari Metal Industries works, New Market, Near Central Bank, Rly. Road, Yamuna Nagar, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Faridabad constituted,—*vide* Government notification No. 11495 G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968, under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Ram Raj was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?